

ECS Configuration Change Request

Page 1 of 3 Pages

CCR No. 96-0113	Logged Date 2/09/96	Rev. -	Request Type CCR
Priority Routine <input type="checkbox"/> Urgent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Emergency <input type="checkbox"/>	Affected Release		Change Class II
Title (description) Allocate oriole to Rel B IDG group			
Documents Affected Workstation Summary VCATS software Inventory		Source Nos (RID, NCR, etc.) or Tech Reference Prepared by Tom Suhrstedt for Laks Prabhala	
RTM Change <input type="checkbox"/> Start New Baseline <input type="checkbox"/>			
Problem Release B needs to do early work with inter-cell communication and OODCE which is crucial to their design. Since OODCE for DCE 1.1 is only available now on HP, they require a capable HP machine in their "IDG Development Cell".			
Proposed Solution There are three HPs that were considered for this purpose. Oriole and sparrow are equivalent now (HP735 w/208MB, 8GB disk), while eagle is an HP 715 with only 32MB and 1GB disk. Mail sent out to users of oriole is attached, and indicated that it would be a better choice than sparrow, which I am told is currently a Rel A PDPS AutoSys server (though Sun is still the target platform?). Eagle probably does not have enough disk space or memory to install DCE and build applications. For this reason, it is being proposed that oriole be provided to the Rel B IDG group for a specified period of time. Memory from oriole had been temporarily placed in epservers, and we need to know if it can be removed now (though it is not needed by IDG). Sparrow cannot mount home directories; eagle will not accept standard NIS username/password. Oriole would have to be upgraded to HPUX 10.01. See attachments for other information.			
Impact Analysis: Organizations Affected: BOO <input type="checkbox"/> Contracts <input type="checkbox"/> ESO <input type="checkbox"/> FOS <input type="checkbox"/> M&O <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> QA <input type="checkbox"/> Rel. A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rel. B <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rel. IR1 <input type="checkbox"/> MRS <input type="checkbox"/> SMO <input type="checkbox"/> Subconts <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ Cost: None <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Small <input type="checkbox"/> Medium <input type="checkbox"/> Large <input type="checkbox"/> (Not exceeding \$100,000) (\$100,000 to \$500,000) (Over \$500,000) Schedule: None <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ Additional LOC _____ Man-Months _____ Materials _____			
Originator <u>Tom Suhrstedt</u> _____ Signature _____ Date _____			
Office <u>Release B</u> Office Manager _____ Signature _____ Date _____			
Disposition Approved <input type="checkbox"/> Approved w/Comment <input type="checkbox"/> Forward <input type="checkbox"/> Disapproved <input type="checkbox"/> Comments: Deferred 3/11 - Ariel, Oriole, and Uakko are assigned. HTSC to upgrade Oriole - Actions to be assigned per Tom S. CCB Chairperson _____ Signature _____ Date _____			

Attachment "Allocate oriole to Rel B IDG group" CCR:

Reply Separator

Subject: Oriole or Sparrow for Rel B?

Author: Thomas L Suhrstedt at 11-ECS-1

Date: 2/7/96 6:39 PM

In trying to fill the innumerable number of requests for allocations of equipment, one of the urgent needs is to find an HP machine that can be used by Laks's IDG group in Release B to do early development/prototyping within a DCE 1.1 cell. This will require a capable HP machine that will have to be upgraded to HP-UX 10 and have OODCE/DCE 1.1 installed. We need to fill this need quickly out of existing equipment, and the only two alternatives appear to be sparrow and oriole. They are essentially the same configurations (HP735 with 8GB disk). Though oriole used to have 400MB memory, much of that was rifled to put in EP6 machines so it now has 208MB, just like sparrow. Both are currently at HPUX 9.01.

I do not know why I am unable to log into sparrow now (it tells me it can't mount my home directory), but I am aware of no outstanding commitments for these machines that have been cleared recently through the EDF CCB. I am aware that these have traditionally been Rel A PDPS resources, but I have seen no recent logins to oriole by anyone other than administrative people.

I am planning to write a CCR that will be presented at the 2/12 EDF CCB to allocate one of these machines to the stated use, and this message is to invite input concerning which would be best. Since I can't log into sparrow I cannot comment on who has recently logged in or what is on its disks. The significant user directories on oriole are below, though I suspect that Laks could leave most of this stuff alone for awhile if necessary.

=====/pgshome1====		==== /pgshome2 =====	
KB used	Directory	KB used	Directory
-----	-----	-----	-----
12	jbreed	85634	PGSfiles
80	jolygonm	124240	aprtech
24374	jbWatson	34384	jglenn
9258	kwehrmei	11032	jhunt
279654	marek	66952	lmoses
2667990	nprasad	45102	pbs
4982	pambarde	40130	pbsource
26210	toma	1755464	sbramhal
237962	vendor	782322	stackley

Thanks, Tom Suhrstedt

Subject: Re: Oriole or Sparrow for Rel B?

Author: Simon Tackley

Date: 2/8/96 10:54 AM

Tom,

The /pgshome2/stackley directory contains the original PDPS Processing Prototype ("Chains"). **This code should be moved elsewhere on the system and backed up onto exabyte for me to look after before it is wiped.**

Thanks
Simon

Subject: Re[2]: Oriole or Sparrow for Rel B?
Author: Edmund Larson
Date: 2/8/96 9:53 AM

I don't know anything about the **PGSfiles directory**. It looks like it is the Toolkit and was put out there on Aug 30 (1995, I assume). My only guess is that Jason was testing something or perhaps Marek?

As far as I'm concerned, blow it away!

Ed

Subject: Re: Oriole or Sparrow for Rel B?
Author: Tom Atwater
Date: 2/8/96 8:39 AM

I do not use either of these machines.

You can kill any files I have (as long as they're not my automounted files!)

kwehrmei is Karen Howard, who has left the project; I inherited her stuff, and do not need anything she had on these machines -- so **you can delete her files too**.

sbramhal is Scott Bramhall, who has also left the project; his files are the responsibility of Dave Heroux.

PGSfiles are the responsibility of Ed Larson.

Tom